## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

## RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ORAL ARGUMENT

Case Number: 23-15	570	
Short Case Caption: Meye	r Corporation, U.S. v. Un	ited States
Filing Party: Meyer Corporation, U.S.		
Instructions. No more than two may argue on behalf of each party by counsel from the same firm. It and submit a separate Form 33, by counsel should only submit one I distinct counsel may elect to be argument, and no amended entry Parties intending to waive argument should complete the rewhole minutes. Rebuttal time is otherwise ordered, cases must not	Fed. Cir. R. 34(e)(2). Each sut counsel arguing on behalf form 33 and list all parties have one counsel represent of appearance is required.  The ent should check the waiver mainder of the form. Argur only allowed for Appellants	resented by the same counsel of arguing counsel must complete of of parties represented by other below. Parties represented by their collective interests at a box below; parties not waiving ment time must be identified in and Cross-Appellants. Unless
Oral Argument Waiver	☐ The above party intend	s to waive oral argument.
Accommodation Need	☐ Arguing counsel requires an <u>accommodation</u> .	
Arguing Counsel Name (Include Mr., Ms., Dr., etc.)	Mr. John M. Peterson	
Parties I am representing at argument (if different from filing parties; attach additional page if needed)		
Phone: 212-635-2730	Main Argument Mins.:	10 Rebuttal Mins.: 5
$\square$ I am dividing time with another	er counsel (counsel must file	e separate Responses).
acknowledge that (1) oral argur proceed even if I waive argument change through filing an amended appearances in the case and are r	, see Fed. R. App. P. 34(e)–(1 d version of this form; and (3	f); (2) arguing counsel can only ) counsel who have not entered
Date: <u>08/02/2024</u>	Signature: /s/ John M. Peterson	
	Name: John M. Peterson	